

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,741	01/28/2002	Ichiro Ueno	02049C/HG	5747	
1933	7590 03/19/2004		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, 767 THIRD A	HOLTZ, GOODMA	LISH, PETER J			
25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			1754		

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/058,74	1	UENO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Peter J Lis		1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	ecorrespondence addres	S		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. 1ays, a reply within the statu tory period will apply and will. In by statute, cause the appli.	nt, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) o I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this community NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 18 June 2002.					
2a)□	•						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Interpretation of the drawing specific and the spec	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	ge		
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pi er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/058,741

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-33 and 36-47, drawn to a method for the carbonization of waste, classified in class 201, subclass 13.
- II. Claims 34-35 and 48, drawn to an activated charcoal product, classified in class502, subclass 416.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could have been made by another and materially different process, such as by the chemical activation of wood-based charcoal.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Herbert Goodman on 3/15/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,741

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 571-272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PL

STUART L. HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

At 101-